

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/533,223	04/27/2006	Yukio Nishimura	70020.0061USWO	8884	
24728 68/14/2008 MORRIS MANNING MARTIN LLP 3343 PEACHTREE ROAD, NE			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			WALKE, AMANDA C		
	1600 ATLANTA FINANCIAL CENTER ATLANTA, GA 30326		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1795		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/533 223 NISHIMURA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Amanda C. Walke 1795 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 May 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

Application/Control Number: 10/533,223 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki et al (EP 1341038 or 7,108,951; column and line citations are for the EP document).

Sasaki et al disclose a photosensitive resin comprising and a photoacid generator. The resin may comprise a variety of specific types of monomers, including those meeting the structural limitations of the instant formulas I-1 and I-2 ([0095], F-29 to F-33, F-35 to F-38); B-5-B-15; pages 36 and 37). Such monomers may be employed in combination, and I-1 in the claimed amount as in one of the many inventive samples, j-29. The composition also comprises a solvent, surfactant, and diffusion inhibitor ([0191]-[0200]).

Given the teachings of the reference, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill of the art to prepare the material of Sasaki et al choosing to employ the monomers described above in combination and/or in the amounts as instantly claimed.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 5/27/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has argued that the Sasaki reference fails to meet the limitations of the newly amended claims as the exemplified polymer cited by the examiner comprises a monomer having an aromatic ring. The examiner respectfully disagrees. The Sasaki reference teaches that

Application/Control Number: 10/533,223

Art Unit: 1795

among the list of suitable monomers, those having aromatic rings are included but not required. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare the material of the reference, or even the cited polymer and replace the aromatic ring-containing monomer with another monomer taught to be useful in the polymer of the invention.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amanda C. Walke whose telephone number is 571-272-1337. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 5:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Amanda C Walke Primary Examiner Art Unit 1795

/Amanda C Walke/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795 Application Number

Application/Control No.

Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination

10/533,223

NISHIMURA ET AL.

Examiner

Art Unit

Amanda C. Walke

1795